

**NCKU, IMBA - FEEDBACK, PEER REVIEW**

---

<b>THE GROUP YOU ARE ASSESSING:</b>		<b>Save your file. Use this format: group being assessed your group.doc</b> <b>So an example would be TIV Voltes5.doc – where TIV is the group being assessed and Voltes5 is your group.</b>	
<b>THE CANADIAN CLUB</b>			
<b>YOUR GROUP NAME:</b>			
<b>TIGER HOODS</b>			
A	B	C	D
Are ideas presented connected with the aim of the project?	Are the ideas presented clearly supported with evidence and logical argument?	Is it easy to follow & to understand? (Are the slides clear and easy to follow e.g. use of new pictures, words, graphs)	Overall impression (is it a group presentation etc.?)
40%	30%	20%	10%

30%	25%	15%	10%
Comments (space will expand as you type) This is a clear presnetiaon about the health check.			
Grade (%) 80%			

## **Rules and process**

### Rules.

- The spirit of feedback should be positive and constructive.
- Personal attacks are not acceptable.
- This is not an opportunity to deal with past grievances.
- Avoid personalizing your comments e.g., “Bob comments in section one are rubbish”.
- Keep comments as objective as possible.

### Process

The following are some notes to help you with this process.

- Focus on constructive comments that include the positive and negative e.g.:  
*The section on company background is simple, clear and easy to follow.*  
*The format on p.6 changes. See the font size there as compared to p.5.*

- Keep comments as objective as possible by highlighting sections/position  
*We found your research question 2 (section 3.1.2) hard to understand.*
- In terms of the sections above (ABCD):
  - A: Does the group cover key aspects of the material? *E.g., if it is a proposal are the nine sections included?*
  - B: Is relevant detail included in each of the sections? *E.g., Is the part of the proposal on time frames cover the period of the course? Are the questions/objectives clear, precise?*
  - C: Is the layout easy to follow and attractive? *E.g., are sections numbered? Page numbers? Bullets used?*
  - D: Is this a group effort? *E.g., Does the report flow as a 'whole' or does it look like several parts with little connection? Do the ideas compliment each other all the way through?*

**NCKU, IMBA - FEEDBACK, PEER REVIEW**

<b>THE GROUP YOU ARE ASSESSING:</b>		<b>Save your file. Use this format: group being assessed your group.doc</b> <b>So an example would be TIV Voltes5.doc – where TIV is the group being assessed and Voltes5 is your group.</b>	
<b>CANDIAN CLUB</b>			
<b>YOUR GROUP NAME:</b>			
<b>WORKAHOLICS</b>			
A	B	C	D
Are ideas presented connected with the aim of the project?	Are the ideas presented clearly supported with evidence and logical argument?	Is it easy to follow & to understand? (Are the slides clear and easy to follow e.g. use of new pictures, words, graphs)	Overall impression (is it a group presentation etc.?)
40%	30%	20%	10%

40%	25%	20%	10%
Comments (space will expand as you type) Clear introduction and location Very vivid presentation, wake up everyone ! Very good ! Nice job in animation ! With a lot of pictures which get everyone involve in the case. Loud and clear ! very good !! Lead everyone through the experience and shows the different waste !			
Grade (%) 95%			

**Rules and process**

Rules.

- The spirit of feedback should be positive and constructive.
- Personal attacks are not acceptable.
- This is not an opportunity to deal with past grievances.
- Avoid personalizing your comments e.g., “Bob comments in section one are rubbish”.
- Keep comments as objective as possible.

Process

The following are some notes to help you with this process.

- Focus on constructive comments that include the positive and negative e.g.:  
*The section on company background is simple, clear and easy to follow.*  
*The format on p.6 changes. See the font size there as compared to p.5.*
- Keep comments as objective as possible by highlighting sections/position  
*We found your research question 2 (section 3.1.2) hard to understand.*
- In terms of the sections above (ABCD):
  - A: Does the group cover key aspects of the material? *E.g., if it is a proposal are the nine sections included?*
  - B: Is relevant detail included in each of the sections? *E.g., Is the part of the proposal on time frames cover the period of the course? Are the questions/objectives clear, precise?*
  - C: Is the layout easy to follow and attractive? *E.g., are sections numbered? Page numbers? Bullets used?*
  - D: Is this a group effort? *E.g., Does the report flow as a 'whole' or does it look like several parts with little connection? Do the ideas compliment each other all the way through?*

<b>THE GROUP YOU ARE ASSESSING:</b>		<b>Save your file. Use this format: group being assessed your group.doc</b> <b>So an example would be TIV Voltes5.doc – where TIV is the group being assessed and Voltes5 is your group.</b>	
<b>CANNADIAN CLUB</b>			
<b>YOUR GROUP NAME:</b>			
<b>JIT</b>			
A	B	C	D
Are ideas presented connected with the aim of the project?	Are the ideas presented clearly supported with evidence and logical argument?	Is it easy to follow & to understand? (Are the slides clear and easy to follow e.g. use of new pictures, words, graphs)	Overall impression (is it a group presentation etc.?)
40%	30%	20%	10%
35%	25%	20%	10%
Comments (space will expand as you type) - Good job about introduction because there are the pictures and layout of hospital shown for easy to understand and can follow your presentation easily. - All clear explanations. - During explaining about the waste, maybe add more pictures are preferable.			
Grade (%) 90%			

## **Rules and process**

### Rules.

- The spirit of feedback should be positive and constructive.
- Personal attacks are not acceptable.
- This is not an opportunity to deal with past grievances.
- Avoid personalizing your comments e.g., “Bob comments in section one are rubbish”.
- Keep comments as objective as possible.

### Process

The following are some notes to help you with this process.

- Focus on constructive comments that include the positive and negative e.g.:  
*The section on company background is simple, clear and easy to follow.*  
*The format on p.6 changes. See the font size there as compared to p.5.*
- Keep comments as objective as possible by highlighting sections/position

*We found your research question 2 (section 3.1.2) hard to understand.*

- In terms of the sections above (ABCD):
  - A: Does the group cover key aspects of the material? *E.g., if it is a proposal are the nine sections included?*
  - B: Is relevant detail included in each of the sections? *E.g., Is the part of the proposal on time frames cover the period of the course? Are the questions/objectives clear, precise?*
  - C: Is the layout easy to follow and attractive? *E.g., are sections numbered? Page numbers? Bullets used?*
  - D: Is this a group effort? *E.g., Does the report flow as a 'whole' or does it look like several parts with little connection? Do the ideas compliment each other all the way through?*

**NCKU, IMBA - FEEDBACK, PEER REVIEW**

---

<b>THE GROUP YOU ARE ASSESSING:</b>		<b>Save your file. Use this format: group being assessed your group.doc</b> <b>So an example would be TIV Voltes5.doc – where TIV is the group being assessed and Voltes5 is your group.</b>	
CANADIAN CLUB			
<b>YOUR GROUP NAME:</b>			
TAIWAN TIGER			
A	B	C	D
Are ideas presented connected with the aim of the project?	Are the ideas presented clearly supported with evidence and logical argument?	Is it easy to follow & to understand? (Are the slides clear and easy to follow e.g. use of new pictures, words, graphs)	Overall impression (is it a group presentation etc.?)
40%	30%	20%	10%

35%	25%	20%	10%
Comments (space will expand as you type) Good illustration and explanation, it helps to understood Good powerpoint slides			
Grade (%) 90%			

## Rules and process

### Rules.

- The spirit of feedback should be positive and constructive.
- Personal attacks are not acceptable.
- This is not an opportunity to deal with past grievances.
- Avoid personalizing your comments e.g., “Bob comments in section one are rubbish”.
- Keep comments as objective as possible.

### Process

The following are some notes to help you with this process.

- Focus on constructive comments that include the positive and negative e.g.:  
*The section on company background is simple, clear and easy to follow.*  
*The format on p.6 changes. See the font size there as compared to p.5.*
- Keep comments as objective as possible by highlighting sections/position  
*We found your research question 2 (section 3.1.2) hard to understand.*
- In terms of the sections above (ABCD):
  - A: Does the group cover key aspects of the material? *E.g., if it is a proposal are the nine sections included?*
  - B: Is relevant detail included in each of the sections? *E.g., Is the part of the proposal on time frames cover the period of the course? Are the questions/objectives clear, precise?*
  - C: Is the layout easy to follow and attractive? *E.g., are sections numbered? Page numbers? Bullets used?*
  - D: Is this a group effort? *E.g., Does the report flow as a 'whole' or does it look like several parts with little connection? Do the ideas compliment each other all the way through?*

**NCKU, IMBA - FEEDBACK, CLASS PRESENTATIONS**

<b>THE GROUP YOU ARE ASSESSING:</b>		<b>Save your file. Use this format: group being assessed your group.doc</b> <b>So an example would be TIV Voltes5.doc – where TIV is the group being assessed and Voltes5 is your group.</b>	
<b>CANADIAN CLUB</b>			
<b>YOUR GROUP NAME:</b>			
<b>INSTRUCTOR</b>			
A	B	C	D
Are ideas presented connected with the aim of the presentation?	Are the ideas presented clearly supported with evidence and logical argument?	Is it easy to follow & to understand? (Are the slides clear and easy to follow e.g. use of new pictures, words, graphs)	Overall impression (is it a group presentation etc.?)
40%	30%	20%	10%

5%	5%	5%	5%
<p>Comments (space will expand as you type)</p> <p>Animation works well.</p> <p>Presentation communicates the service waste well.</p> <p>Great job!</p> <p>Interesting how a service that is potentially so intimate is so public and insensitive - but much is culturally construed.</p> <p>Good point on flow of information about health check result. An important point, though, is what happens in the case of a positive result on a check (e.g., STD/other health element). Sensitivity of dealing with this is unclear.</p> <p>Communication - certainly waste - since language is a reasonable expectation for foreign health check.</p>			
Grade (%) 90%			